arXiv:1412.2292v1 [math.CO] 6 Dec 2014

All pairs suffice ☆

Curtis Nelson*, Bryan Shader

Department of Mathematics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming, USA

Abstract

A P-set of a symmetric matrix A is a set α of indices such that the nullity of the matrix obtained from A by removing rows and columns indexed by α is $|\alpha|$ more than that of A. It is known that each subset of a P-set is a P-set. It is also known that a set of indices such that each singleton subset is a P-set need not be a P-set. This note shows that if all pairs of vertices of a set with at least two elements are P-sets, then the set is a P-set.

Keywords: acyclic matrix, eigenvalues, P-vertex, P-set, Parter-vertex, Parter-Set
2000 MSC: 15A18, 05C50

1. Introduction

Throughout this note, all matrices are real. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an n × n symmetric matrix. The graph, $G(A)$, of A has vertices $1, 2, \ldots, n$ where $\{i, j\}$ is an edge in the graph if and only if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. Let τ and α be subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then $A[\tau, \alpha]$ denotes the submatrix of A consisting of the rows indexed by τ and the columns indexed by α. When $\tau = \alpha$, $A[\alpha]$ is used in place of $A[\alpha, \alpha]$. Similarly, $A(\alpha)$ denotes the principal submatrix of A obtained by deleting the rows and columns indexed by α. Also, $\nu(A)$ denotes the nullity of A and $\mathrm{RS}(A)$ denotes the row space of A.

Vertex i is a *downer vertex of* A if $\nu(A) - 1 = \nu(A(i))$. Vertex i is a *P-vertex of* A if $\nu(A) + 1 = \nu(A(i))$. A set of vertices indexed by a set α is

☆This research was supported by the University of Wyoming.

*Corresponding author.
Email addresses: curtisgn@gmail.com (Curtis Nelson), bshader@uwyo.edu (Bryan Shader)

a *P-set of* A if $\nu(A) + |\alpha| = \nu(A(\alpha))$. In this case, we also say that α is a P-set of A. It is known that every non-empty subset of a P-set is a P-set [5, Proposition 5]. It is also known that a set of P-vertices is not necessarily a P-set [2, Example 2.4], [4, Example 4.6]. In [6], we studied the maximal P-sets of matrices whose graphs are trees and also proved that for a symmetric matrix A whose graph is a tree, a set of indices is a P-set of A if and only if every subset of cardinality two (i.e. each pair) is a P-set of A. This conclusion came as a corollary of a complicated, technical theorem that identifies the maximal P-sets of A. In this note, we show that the previous result holds for all symmetric matrices A, regardless of the graph of A; i.e. we show that that if A is a symmetric matrix, a set α of at least two indices is a P-set of A if and only if each pair of α is a P-set of A.

2. All pairs suffice

We first recall the following known result, Jacobi's Determinant Identity [1, p. 24].

Theorem 1. *Let A be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix and let α be a subset of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then* $\det(A[\alpha]) = \det(A^{-1}(\alpha)) \det(A)$.

We next prove a result that shows useful relationships between the linear dependencies among the rows of a matrix and the downer vertices and P-vertices of the matrix.

Proposition 2. *Let A be a symmetric matrix. Then*

(a) *i is a downer vertex if and only if row i is a linear combination of other rows of A.*

(b) *If α is a set of P-vertices, then the rows of A indexed by α are linearly independent.*

Proof. Vertex i is a downer vertex of A if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(A(i))$. This occurs if and only if row i is a linear combination of other rows of A.

For (b), we prove the contrapositive. Assume that the rows of A indexed by α are linearly dependent. Then there is an $i \in \alpha$ such that row i is a linear combination of rows of A indexed by $\alpha \setminus \{i\}$. By (a), i is a downer vertex of A and hence is not a P-vertex. □

The following gives useful characterizations of a P-set.

Theorem 3. *Let*

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} B & C \\ \hline C^T & D \end{array}\right]$$

be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix where B is $k \times k$. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ *is a P-set*

(b) *rank*(A) = *rank*$(D) + 2k$

(c) $\{x : x^T C \in \mathrm{RS(D)}\} = \{0\}$

Proof. Note that $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ is a P-set of A

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \qquad \nu(D) &= \nu(A) + k \\ \Leftrightarrow \qquad n - \nu(D) &= n - \nu(A) - k \\ \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathrm{rank}(D) + k &= \mathrm{rank}(A) - k \\ \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathrm{rank}(A) &= \mathrm{rank}(D) + 2k \end{aligned}$$

Thus (a) and (b) are equivalent.

Now note

$$\mathrm{rank}(A) \leq k + \mathrm{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} C \\ D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq k + k + \mathrm{rank}(D) = 2k + \mathrm{rank}(D)$$

with equality if and only if (b) holds. Hence (b) and (c) are equivalent. □

We use Theorem 3 to give sufficent conditions for a P-set of a principal submatrix to be a P-set of the entire matrx.

Corollary 4. *Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, α be a set of P-vertices of A, and β be a subset of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\alpha \subseteq \beta$ and the rows of A indexed by β are a basis of RS(A). If α is a P-set of $A[\beta]$, then α is a P-set of A.*

Proof. Assume α is a P-set of $A[\beta]$. Without loss of generality,

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} B & C & E \\ \hline C^T & D & F \\ \hline E^T & F^T & G \end{array}\right], \; A[\alpha] = B \text{ and } A[\beta] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} B & C \\ \hline C^T & D \end{array}\right].$$

Since α is a P-set of $A[\beta]$, by Theorem 3, $\{x : x^T C \in \mathrm{RS}(D)\} = \{0\}$. Hence $\{x : x^T \begin{bmatrix} C & E \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{RS}(\begin{bmatrix} D & F \end{bmatrix})\} = \{0\}$.

We now show that

$$\mathrm{RS}(\begin{bmatrix} D & F \end{bmatrix}) = \mathrm{RS}\left(\begin{bmatrix} D & F \\ F^T & G \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Since the rows of A indexed by β are a basis of $\mathrm{RS}(A)$,

$$\mathrm{RS}(\begin{bmatrix} E^T & F^T & G \end{bmatrix}) \subseteq \mathrm{RS}\left(\begin{bmatrix} B & C & E \\ C^T & D & F \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Since α is a set of P-vertices of A, by Proposition 2, no row of $\begin{bmatrix} B & C & E \end{bmatrix}$ is a linear combinatation of other rows of A. It follows that $\mathrm{RS}(\begin{bmatrix} E^T & F^T & G \end{bmatrix}) \subseteq \mathrm{RS}\left(\begin{bmatrix} C^T & D & F \end{bmatrix}\right)$. In particular, each row of $\begin{bmatrix} F^T & G \end{bmatrix}$ is in $\mathrm{RS}(\begin{bmatrix} D & F \end{bmatrix})$ and hence

$$\mathrm{RS}(\begin{bmatrix} D & F \end{bmatrix}) = \mathrm{RS}\left(\begin{bmatrix} D & F \\ F^T & G \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\left\{x : x^T \begin{bmatrix} C & E \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{RS}\left(\begin{bmatrix} D & F \\ F^T & G \end{bmatrix}\right)\right\} = \{0\}$$

and thus, by Theorem 3, α is a P-set of A. □

We now show that all pairs suffice in the case the matrix is nonsingular.

Theorem 5. *Let M be a nonsingular, symmetric $n \times n$ matrix and α be a set of cardinality at least two such that each pair of α is a P-set of M. Then α is a P-set of M.*

Proof. Let $N = M^{-1}$. Since each non-empty subset of a P-set is a P-set, the hypotheses imply that $\det M(i) = 0$ for each $i \in \alpha$. By Theorem 1, $\det N[i] = 0$ for each $i \in \alpha$. Thus $n_{ii} = 0$ for each $i \in \alpha$. Also, for each subset γ of α of cardinality 2, we know that $\det M(\gamma) = 0$. Thus $\det N[\gamma] = 0$. Since the diagonal entries of the 2×2, symmetric matrix $N[\gamma]$ are 0, $N[\gamma] = 0$ for each such γ. Hence $N[\alpha] = 0$.

Now consider a subset τ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus \alpha$ of cardinality at most $|\alpha| - 1$. It is easy to verify that the columns of $N[\tau, \alpha]$ are linearly dependent, and hence the columns of $N[\tau \cup \alpha]$ indexed by τ are linearly dependent. We conclude that $\det N[\tau \cup \alpha] = 0$. Thus, by Theorem 1, every principal minor of $M(\alpha)$ of order at least $n - 2|\alpha| + 1$ is 0. Since $M(\alpha)$ is a symmetric matrix of order $n - |\alpha|$, the nullity of $M(\alpha)$ is greater than $|\alpha| - 1$. We conclude that α is a P-set of M. □

Lastly, we prove the main result of the note.

Theorem 6. *Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix and α a set of indices of cardinality at least two. Then α is a P-set of A if and only if every pair of α is a P-set of A.*

Proof. First assume α is a P-set of A. Since every subset of a P-set is a P-set, each pair is a P-set of A.

Conversely, assume each pair of α is a P-set of A. By Proposition 2, the rows of A indexed by α are linearly independent. Thus there exists a set β such that $\alpha \subseteq \beta$, $|\beta| = n - \nu(A)$, and $A[\beta]$ is nonsingular. It follows that the rows of A indexed by β are a basis of the row space of A.

Consider a subset γ of α of cardinality 2. By assumption, $A(\gamma)$ has nullity $\nu(A) + 2$. Thus $A[\beta \setminus \gamma]$, which is obtained from $A(\gamma)$ by deleting $\nu(A)$ rows and columns, has nullity at least $\nu(A) + 2 - \nu(A) = 2$. Hence for each pair γ of α, γ is a P-set of $A[\beta]$. By Theorem 5, α is a P-set of the nonsingular $A[\beta]$. By Corollary 4, α is a P-set of A. □

Thus if A is a symmetric matrix and α is a set of indices of cardinality at least two, then the condition that $\nu(A) + 2 = \nu(A\{i, j\})$ for each subset $\{i, j\}$ of α implies α is a P-set of A. We note that if α is a set of P-vertices of A, then in order to show α is a P-set of A, it suffices to show the weaker condition that $\nu(A) < \nu(A(\{i, j\})$ for every subset $\{i, j\}$ of α. This is seen as follows. In [3, Proposition 4.7], it is shown that if i and j are P-vertices of A, then $\nu(A) - \nu(A(\{i, j\}) \in \{-2, 0\}$. Combining this with Theorem 6 shows that if α is a set of P-vertices of A, then α is a P-set of A if and only if $\nu(A) < \nu(A(\{i, j\}))$ for every subset $\{i, j\}$ of α.

References

[1] R. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2013.

[2] C.R. Johnson, A. Leal Duarte, C.M. Saiago, B.D. Sutton, and A.J. Witt. On the relative position of multiple eigenvalues in the spectrum of an Hermitian matrix with a given graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 363 (2003) 147-159.

[3] C.R. Johnson and B.D. Sutton. Hermitian matrices, eigenvalue multiplicities, and eigenvector components. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 26 (2004) 390-399.

[4] I.J. Kim and B.L. Shader. On Fiedler- and Parter-vertices of acyclic matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 428 (2008) 2601-2613.

[5] I.J. Kim and B.L. Shader. Non-singular acyclic matrices. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 57:4 (2009) 399-407.

[6] C.G. Nelson and B.L. Shader. Maximal P-sets of matrices whose graph is a tree. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, under review.